

(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) NO. 7627 /2006

PETITIONERS

1. Bhim Rao Bhaire
Aged about 38 Years,
S/o Bharti Rao Bhaire
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School, Muraki (Dadhi), Bemetara,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)
- Bhumika Devi Ramteke, aged 37 years
D/o Shri Jhadu Ram Ramteke
Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary
School, Kacche Dafai, Rajhara,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)
3. Smt. Padmini Shandilya,
Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary School
Chikhlakasa No. 2 Rajhara,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)
4. Smt. Usha Wadhmare,
Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary Boys
School, Dallirajhara, Distt. Durg (C.G.)
5. Smt. Lalita Yadav, aged about 38 years
D/o Shri Narsingh Yadav
Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary School
Chikhlakasa no.2 , Rajhara,
Distt. Durg (C.G.)
6. Jai Prakash Singh Thakur,
S/o Ved Ram Thakur, Assistant
Teacher, Govt. High School Chipra,
Block Daundi, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6677/06
Presented by N. Shrivastava
dated 23-11-06

RECEIVED-COPY
23/11/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



2

5

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary,
School Education Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director
Public Instruction Department
Raipur (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer
District Durg (C.G.)
4. Deputy Director (Education)
Distt. Durg (C.G.)
5. Deputy Director
Public Education, Distt. Bemetara (C.G.)
6. Deputy Director
Public Education Distt. Kawardha (C.G.)
7. Deputy Director
Public Educatuion Distt. Mahasamund
(C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(62)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 7627 of 2006

Petitioners : Bhim Rao Bhaisare and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Sudhir Verma, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 5th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Panel lawyer appearing for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petition are similar to that of in W. P.(S) No. 5191/2006 (Har Prasad Kurre and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 3rd October, 2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 3rd October, 2006 passed by this Court in W. P.(S) No. 5191/2006 (Har Prasad Kurre and others Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge